

(SRI M. V. RAMA RAO)

The report of the Conciliation Officer in this matter has been received by Government. The question whether it is a fit case for reference to adjudication is actively under the consideration of Government.

In the Mysore Kirloskar Co., Ltd., Harihar, 2,400 workers went on strike from 13th November 1967. On 11th November 1967 the management put up a notice for laying off about 70 persons from the 13th to the 18th of November 1967. When this notice was put up on 11th November 1967, the Labour Union gave a notice to the management on 12th November 1967 informing them that the workers would go on strike from 13th November 1967. The management intended to lay-off the workers for a short period due to insufficient work. Similarly in the Hubli Branch of the Mysore Kirloskar Co. Ltd., the management put up a notice for laying off certain workers from the 13th to the 18th November, 1967. On seeing this notice the workers gave a notice of strike on the 12th November 1967 and went on strike on 14th November 1967. The number of workers in the Hubli Unit is 500. In the Hubli Unit, the workers have gone on strike not only against the lay-off of workers from the 13th to 18th November 1967 but also against the action of the management in charge-sheeting Mr. Gund, the Secretary of the Hubli Branch of the Employees' Association.

These two disputes were taken up for conciliation by the Deputy Labour Commissioner. There was some delay in the initiation of these proceedings as the workers desired that a single officer having jurisdiction over both Harihar and Hubli units should hold joint conciliation proceedings. These proceedings ended in failure on 30th November 1967. The report of the Conciliation Officer is expected and on its receipt a speedy decision will be taken in the matter of reference of the dispute for adjudication.

2-30 P.M.

## SIXTH REPORT OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I present the Sixth Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

### Members' Representations

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am not raising any discussion on this point. I am making an appeal to the Government because this is a very serious matter. In all the three factories in Hubli, Harihar and Bangalore there is some trouble unfortunately. It is tragic in the

extreme. I am not apportioning blame and accusing anybody. I am reminding the Government of their duty to see that somehow, some sort of a compromise is effected and this sort of stalemate is not allowed to continue; they cannot simply keep quiet and say that conciliation proceedings have failed, and that they are thinking what to do, whether the dispute will be referred and all that. There is no point in standing an *izzat* only or that kind of thing. It is better that the Government effectively interferes in the matter and tries to bring about a sort of understanding as I know in Harihar, 2,400 families are in distress. I am not saying who is at fault and who is right. It is not for me to judge not knowing the facts; it does not lie in my mouth. What is wanted is that the Labour Minister will have to put in much more effort in this matter and see that the whole issue is brought under a conciliatory frame of mind. The trouble is that both the portfolios are given to one and the same Minister. That is why, he may be finding it more difficult to deal with the question. I wish he becomes more of a Labour Minister than the Home Minister in tackling labour and management disputes and that some understanding is brought about. This is my appeal to the Government; they must move very speedily in the matter.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.**—There will be no debate on this.

**Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.**—No, Sir, I am not raising a debate. I would like to join Mr. Siddaveerappa and urge the Minister in this matter to forge a settlement. In one case it is already 45 days old. In Harihar, it is 31 days old. Still, the reply that is given by the Government is that the report of the Conciliation Officer is expected. I would appeal to the Minister to see that these disputes are settled immediately instead of making vague statements.

**Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.**—No vague statements are made. I would like to appeal to the hon. Member through you in this connection that more responsible statements are expected from him.

**Sri S. S. SHETTAR.**—The strike is going on. If the Conciliation has failed, it has failed long back. If the strike is not prohibited, the workers will suffer. In these days, it is very difficult for them to maintain themselves without work and wages. A reference may be made to the Industrial Court very early.

**Sri K. H. PATIL.**—I am not speaking on this Calling Attention Notice. I wish to say something regarding the same matter on which the hon. Minister Mr. Jatti is preparing to speak and is standing. It is better that the motion regarding the Report of the Mahajan Commission on Maharashtra-Mysore-Kerala Boundary disputes, is moved by the Leader of the House. I do not mean thereby that Mr. Jatti is a lesser person than the Leader of the House. In the agenda of the previous days, it had been said that the motion would be moved by the Leader of the House; the motion was in the name of the hon. Chief Minister. But today, surprisingly we find that, that responsibility goes to Mr. Jatti. I

(Sri K. H. Patil)

do not say that Mr. Jatti is not competent to assume that responsibility. As long as Mr. Nijalingappa is the Leader of the House, it is better in the interest of the State he does not shirk his responsibility in such a manner. I would like to hear the Hon'ble Chief Minister Sri Nijalingappa because he is the man who gave his consent for the appointment of the One Man Commission; he is the man who has talked behind the camera. This House would like to know his opinion directly. Therefore I appeal to you and through you to the Treasury Benches to request the hon. Leader of the House to move the Resolution.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I had given an adjournment motion. ಅದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಿರ್ಲೋಸ್ಕರ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಇದೇ ತಿಂಗಳು 12ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ತುಟ್ಟಭತ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಆರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ಏಕಾಏಕಿ ನೌಕರರ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಳಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ದಬ್ಬಾಳಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ದೌರ್ಜನ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಟೇರ್‌ಗ್ಯಾಸ್ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಜಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದರು. ಅವರನ್ನು ಸಹ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಹೊಡೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ವ್ಯಾಸನಲ್ಲ ಕುಳಿಸಿ ಕಳಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಶವಂತ ಪುರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲೂ ಸಹ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಹೊಡೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮಧುಗಿರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಗರಾಟೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಕಿರ್ಲೋಸ್ಕರ್ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ನೌಕರರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ಬಹುಷ್ಟು ದಬ್ಬಾಳಿಕೆ ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರನ್ನು ನಾಯುಗಳಂತೆ ನೌಕರರಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಲು ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It does not arise now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನಾನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will look into it. How does it arise now ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಏನೆಂದರೆ.....

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Law).—I rise to a point of order. I would like to know how this is relevant. Secondly, the hon. Member has taken the floor of the House on a subject which is not before the House. May I request the Chair that whatever has been stated by him, must be erased out of the record.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ, ಅದು ಏನಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I will look into it. I do not know anything about it. Order, order. Let Sri Nanje Gowda, please sit down.

Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA.—I rise to a point of order. ಇದು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಯಾವುದೋ ಒಂದು ರೂರಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಳಿಸಿದಂಥ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲದೆ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯುಟೆನವರು ಸರ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಂತೆ ತಳ್ಳಿಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಯಾವ ಉತ್ತರವೂ ಒಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬೇಡ, ನಾನು ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗ ವಿಷಯ ಗೊತ್ತಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎನ್. ನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, 21 ಎಣಿಸುವುದರೊಳಗೆ ನನ್ನ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. 59ನೆಯ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ರೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯಟ್‌ಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ನೋಡಿದೆ; ಆಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ಸಾರಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಒಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಾ ಗೋದಾವರಿ ನೀರಿನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಜರ್ಜಿ ಮ್ಯಾಡಬ್ಲೆಕು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಸೂಚನೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೂಡದೆ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅನಾದರಣೆ ಧೋರಣೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ರೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯಟ್‌ನ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ, ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್ ಅವರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಆಡಳಿತದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅನಾದರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಭಟಿಸಿ ನಾನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇನೆ.

(ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಭಾತ್ಯಾಗ ಮಾಡಿದರು)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ನನಗೂ ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

## CONSIDERATION OF THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON THE MYSORE-MAHARASHTRA-KERALA BOUNDARY DISPUTES.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Food and Civil Supplies).—Sir, I beg to move :

“ That the Report of the Commission on Mysore, Maharashtra and Kerala boundary disputes be taken into consideration.”

Sri B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—On a point of order, Sir. This session is called to discuss the Mahajan Commission Report. It was the Chief Minister who agreed to call for this session to discuss the report. He is the Leader of the House and he has to move this. This is a matter affecting the whole State and all the Kannada people. The Leader of the House is not present just at this moment and it is an insult to this House.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR (Tumkur).—I support the contention of my friend. Perhaps, the Chief Minister is trying to shirk his responsibility after he has been elected as the President of All India Congress Committee. He does not want to take the responsibility and he wants to safeguard the interests of Keralites and Maharastrians. I have all the respect and regard to Sri Jatti. But, it is the Chief Minister who should move this motion.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—He might have authorized Sri Jatti to move it.

(Interruption by Sri B. P. Gongadhar)

Order, order. This is a very important matter and members are anxious to participate in the Debate. But members are simply wasting the time.